Annex 7 – Selection and nomination of Evaluators; Overview of training sessions

Annex 7.1 – Selection of the academics - members of the Permanent (standing) Specialty Commissions (excerpt)..... 2

Annex 7.2 – Selection of the students – members of the Permanent (standing) Specialty Commissions (excerpt)..... 4

Annex 7.3 - Overview of Criteria/Sequencing of the nomination of academic experts for external evaluation missions 6

Annex 7.4 - Nomination of foreign (international) experts for evaluation missions at institutional level..... 7

Annex 7.5 – Selection of students in the National Register of Evaluators and the nomination of students in panels 8

Annex 7.6 – Training of ARACIS own staff..... 9

Annex 7.7 – Training of academics and students evaluators..... 12

Annex 7.1 – Selection of the academics - members of the Permanent (standing) Specialty Commissions (excerpt)

Each permanent specialty commissions is made up of 7-13 members and is headed by its Chairman and Secretary. One third of the commission is renewed at three year intervals. When some members are no longer able to perform their duties in accordance with the regulations in force, the composition of the commission is completed on the basis of the standard selection and appointment procedure.

The procedure involves the following:

- the responsibility for the selection and appointment of new members in the permanent specialty commissions lies with ARACIS Council; the preliminary selection of candidates is made from ARACIS list of evaluating experts on each study domain; the final selection is based on competition;

- the public announcement of the competition is made by the Executive Board of ARACIS Council by displaying it at ARACIS headquarters and publishing on the Agency website;

- the registration for the competition is made at ARACIS headquarters in 15 working days after the public announcement of the contest;

- the list of candidates is drawn up at the level of each commission on the basis of proposal made by: the commission Chairman, the commission existing members, ARACIS Council and the Executive Board members, as well as by self-proposal;

- the following criteria must be taken into account in the nomination of candidates: candidates experience in the field of academic quality assurance, their professional, scientific, research and managerial competences, academic integrity;

- the candidates from the list confirm the registration by preparing and submitting at ARACIS Secretariat a file with the following content: Curriculum Vitae, a memo of activity, a short program with proposals for improving the quality of academic studies and ARACIS activity, the confirmation from the higher education institution where the candidate performs his/her teaching and research activity as titular or associate staff, declaration on his/her own liability that he/she has not violated the norms of professional ethics and deontology during his/her activity, that he/she is not criminally charged, nor convicted;

- the contest commission is made up of three members at the level of each permanent specialty commission: the commission Chairman or, in his/her absence the commission

secretary and ARACIS council members responsible for the activity of the commission concerned, being validated by the Executive Board of ARACIS Council;

- the candidate files are made available to the members of the contest commission by ARACIS Secretariat within three days from the registration deadline;

- the contest consists of two tests: the analysis and appreciation of the candidate contest file and an interview;

- each member of the contest commission expresses its vote, the candidates being classified in descending order of the number of received votes; in case of equal votes, the following classification criteria are considered: the quality of ARACIS evaluator who participated in a significant number of external evaluations in the specific domain of the commission to which he/she is applying (not less than five), experience in academic management, experience in coordinating national/international programs in the field of education;

- within three working days of the contest, the contest commission draws up a report regarding the contest, this being analysed by the Executive Board of ARACIS Council in order to validate the contest procedure and selected candidates; after validation, the results are displayed at ARACIS headquarters and on the Agency website; appeals may be made within 24 hours of the date of the results being displayed;

- the appeals solving commission, appointed by the Executive Board of ARACIS Council, reviews the appeals and resolve them within 24 hours of the deadline for submitting appeals; the results are displayed at ARACIS headquarters;

- after validation, the final list of selected candidates is proposed for appointment to ARACIS Council; the appointment of the new members is made by ARACIS Council for a period of three years and the list is published on the Agency website;

- the selected candidates are required to submit to ARACIS Secretariat, within three working days of the date of displaying the final list, the statement that they accept the position; if this is not the case, the position will be occupied by another candidate, in descending order of the number of votes and according to the grading criteria.

Annex 7.2 – Selection of the students – members of the Permanent (standing) Specialty Commissions (excerpt)

The Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (2015) set out that the external quality assurance must be done by a group of experts including a student member.

Titular academics or those who continue their didactic activity with the approval of the University Senate, with the degree of associate professor or full professor, with experience in education quality assurance, as well as students and employers representatives may be ARACIS evaluation experts.

The student federations make up their own Student Registry (part of the National Registry of Evaluators), from which they call students to participate as evaluating experts of higher education quality, and sent it to ARACIS. The student evaluators are trained for quality evaluation and assurance activities in training sessions organized in partnership with ARACIS.

The procedure involves the following:

- students of master programs or doctoral studies who have graduated from a licence/master program or are studying in a field within the competence of the respective commission may be part of the permanent specialty commissions; by way of exception, students in the last year of undergraduate studies may be part of the permanent specialty commissions;

- the nomination of students is based on criteria regarding: the activity and visibility within the student association, academic and scientific activity in the field of study for which they are applying, the participation in national/international training sessions/events in the field of quality assurance and academic evaluation missions at national/international level, the compliance with ethical norms in academic activity, the level of knowledge of a foreign language of international circulation, preferably English;

- the announcement regarding the starting of the procedure for selecting and appointing student members in the permanent specialty commissions is published on the Agency website, usually at the beginning of the academic year;

- the student federations may submit at ARACIS headquarters or send by mail or e-mail application files for one or more permanent specialty commissions to a deadline specified in the announcement; the file has the following content: application for registration,

Curriculum Vitae, a memo of activity, a recommendation from the student federation, a student certificate, a copy of the identity card;

- the candidate files are analyzed by a commission appointed by decision of ARACIS President and made up of 3-5 members, of which 2-3 students, which may be: the Chairman or Secretary of the permanent specialty commission, representatives nominated by the student federations, the member of ARACIS Council who coordinates the permanent specialty commission concerned;

- the results of the selection process are validated within ARACIS Council and then the new composition of the commission is made public on the Agency website;

- within five days, the selected students will submit to ARACIS headquarters, or electronically send to ARACIS e-mail address, a statement that they accept their membership in the permanent specialty commission; if a position is not occupied, the next candidate as obtained number of votes is selected or the selection procedure is resumed;

- the mandate of a student member is two years; the quality of member ceases after a period of two years or by law, if at the beginning of the academic year the person has no longer the student status, as well as in other circumstances provided by the law and ARACIS regulations.

Annex 7.3 - Overview of Criteria/Sequencing of the nomination of academic experts for external evaluation missions

	Criteria /activities/ person or structure in charge
Study Programme evaluation	**Criteria:** *compatibility* of the field of study program with competencies of the evaluator (selected from National Register of Evaluators - RNE)/ avoiding any doubt that could induce conflict of interests, affect their credibility, objectivity and impartiality (Head of Permanent Commission, Technical staff – inspector) **Activity:** proposal to Executive Board for experts (three experts and one or two experts as backup, proposed by Head of Permanent Speciality Commission)/preliminary decision of Executive Board (BEX)/consulting experts/final decision of (BEX)/contract with experts – ARACIS staff
Master domains	**Criteria:** *compatibility* of the field of study domain with competencies of the evaluator (selected from National Register of Evaluators - RNE)/ avoiding any doubt that could induce conflict of interests, affect their credibility, objectivity and impartiality (Head of Permanent Commission, Technical staff – inspector) **Activity:** proposal to Executive Board for experts (three experts and one or two experts as backup, proposed by Head of Permanent Speciality Commission)/preliminary decision of Executive Board (BEX)/consulting experts/final decision of (BEX)/contract with experts – ARACIS staff
Institutional evaluation	**Criteria:** experience in managerial activities/ *compatibility* of the field of the selected study program for evaluation with competencies of the evaluator (selected from National Register of Evaluators - RNE)/ avoiding any doubt that could induce conflict of interests, affect their credibility, objectivity and impartiality (Coordinator of mission, Technical staff – secretary of the panel) **Activity:** proposal by Head of Permanent Speciality Commission) to Executive Board for all experts (institutional and study programs)/ decision of Executive Board (BEX)/consulting experts/final decision of (BEX)/contract with experts – ARACIS staff/

Annex 7.4 - Nomination of foreign (international) experts for evaluation missions at institutional level

The *Methodology* (Annex 2.1) specifies, as general provisions:

pg. 46 - The */external evaluation/* includes three successive activities:

(b) a study visit to the programme or institution by a team of three evaluators for a 3-4-day period, in order to verify the correlation of data, information and self-evaluation statements, on one hand, and the concrete institutional reality, on the other hand.

pg. 47-48:

(d) ARACIS Council must select and include in the Register of Experts those academics from Romania and abroad which are known for their professional competence, moral integrity and expertise in the field of quality assurance; the ARACIS Council must offer the experts the possibility of training in the field of external quality evaluation; students must be involved in an external evaluation; whenever is possible, international experts must be involved.

Criteria and activity to select experts from the ARACIS Register:

Coordinator of mission, ARACIS staff – secretary of the mission) checks compliance of the evaluator with the following criteria:

Content of CV to identify:

- potential candidates according to their domain of expertise
- international experts experience in quality assurance in higher education; experience in external evaluation of international higher education institutions; in case of several potential candidates previous experience in external evaluation of Romanian may be an asset;
- domain of expertise comparing with the type of university or the types of study programs evaluated;
- membership of other agencies of quality assurance registered in EQAR;
- checking with the potential candidates their availability for the mission (ARACIS staff – secretary of the mission);
- proposal of candidates to the Executive Board of ARACIS (BEX);
- decision of the Executive Board;
- contract with evaluator.

Annex 7.5 – Selection of students in the National Register of Evaluators and the nomination of students in panels

A. Selection Procedure for Students in the National Register of Evaluators

Romanian Students Federations regularly open a call for students to train them to participate in quality evaluation and assurance activities in higher education and to enrol them in the National Register of Evaluators of the Romanian Agency for Quality Assurance in Higher Education (ARACIS).

Any student from Bachelor, Master or Doctoral degree courses can enrol in these training sessions. Romanian Students Federations select the participating students according to the number of available places, their activity in the student movement and their experience in representing the students and in the field of quality assurance.

The training session for students in the field of quality assurance includes both a theoretical part, which presents European and national policies as well as the legislation in the field, and a practical part, which consists in simulating an institutional evaluation in a partner university, in teams. Training sessions are leaded by current or former student members in the National Register of Evaluators with large experience in the field, appointed by Students Federations and by ARACIS employees or members of the ARACIS Council, on the basis of invitations from Students Federations.

The student training sessions end with the presentation of the evaluation reports they have drawn up following the simulation of the institutional evaluation in a partner university. Trainers provide feedback on students work both immediately after the presentation, and subsequently, after detailed analysis of the reports.

After the training session, within 3 weeks, each student wishing to be enrolled in the National Register of Evaluators - Students is required to prepare a self-assessment report of his own university, in accordance with the evaluation methodology used by ARACIS. These reports are reviewed and evaluated by trainers (current or former student members of the National Register of Evaluators, nominated by the respective student federation).

Following the evaluation of student reports and taking into account their work during the training session and their contributions to simulation of the institutional evaluation, the trainers appointed by the Students Federations decide which of the trained students will be enrolled in the National Register of Evaluators, gaining the necessary skills to participate in external quality evaluation activities.

Following this process, the Romanian Students Federations notify ARACIS to the list of newly formed students who will be enrolled in the National Register of Evaluators – Students.

B. Nomination of students in evaluation teams

The nomination of the students in the evaluation teams, both for the program evaluations and the institutional evaluations are made by the Romanian Students Federations.

The procedure is very simple:

- ARACIS informs the Romanian Students Federations regarding the specific evaluations which will be carried out (institution, study program, Master study domains) and it asks the Federations to nominate the student for the evaluation team;
- The Romanian Students Federations nominate the student considering their own criteria.
  The criteria of the Agency are: the nominated students need to be members of the National Register of Evaluators – Students; if possible, the nominated students need to study in the evaluated domain (field).

Annex 7.6 – Training of ARACIS own staff

No	Period and location	Category of participants, topics and other details	Number of participants
	03 – 04 April 2014 – Paris (France)	*Staff development group* (human resources development) Participant: Oana Sârbu – Head of Department, Department of Experts and Inspectors Specialized in Accreditation and Quality Assurance, ARACIS)	1
	29 – 30 April 2014 - Bayreuth (Germany)	*EQAR Seminar on Cross-Border External Quality Assurance* The seminar gathered 30 representatives from QA agencies to discuss their experiences of carrying out QA reviews across border, the rationale behind the internationally activity of QAAs and EQAR's role in enhancing international trust and contribute to the recognition of quality assurance agencies' results and decisions in line with the EHEA Ministers' commitment Participants: Prof. eng. Iordan Petrescu – President of ARACIS and Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian – Director, International Relations, Projects and Cooperation, ARACIS	2
	06 – 07 November 2014 – Bucharest (Romania)	*Staff development group* Works – human resources development Participant: Oana Sârbu – Head of Department, Department of Experts and Specialized Inspectors in accreditation and quality assurance, ARACIS – the meeting was organized by ARACIS	1
	12 – 13 May 2015 – Hague (Netherlands)	*Staff development group* Participant: Oana Sârbu – Head of Department, Department of Experts and Specialized Inspectors in Accreditation and Quality Assurance, ARACIS	1
	15 – 16 October 2015 – Vienna (Austria)	*ENQA Seminar Reviews* This seminar was organized in view of the implementation of the new ESG in the ENQA evaluation process of quality assurance agencies. Participant: Oana Sârbu – Head of Department, Department of Experts and Specialized Inspectors in Accreditation and Quality Assurance, ARACIS	1
	19 October 2015 – London (United Kingdom)	*Staff development group* Participant: Oana Sârbu – Head of Department, Department of Experts and Specialized Inspectors in Accreditation and Quality Assurance, ARACIS	1

No	Period and location	Category of participants, topics and other details	Number of participants
	30 November – 06 December 2015 – Cluj-Napoca (Romania)	*Seminar with the theme "New Trends in Quality Assurance"* in which there were presented the New ESG – Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in Higher Education/Models of Students' participation in quality assurance / Current and future challenges of the European Higher Education Area – the role of quality assurance Participants: Prof. Adrian Opre – member of ARACIS Council, Vlad Chereches – student member of ARACIS Council	2
	03 – 04 November 2016 – Brussels (Belgium)	*ENQA Seminar "Quality Assurance in European Context"* The programme informed participants about relevant topics such as the Bologna Process, the European Commission's priorities concerning quality assurance and higher education, the role of the main stakeholder bodies (including the E4 and EQAR) in the European Higher Education Area, and the purpose of the Standards and guidelines for quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG). Participation was open to ENQA members, affiliates, and other interested stakeholders Participants: Adina Ghidura – Inspector, International Relations, Projects and Cooperation, ARACIS and Mihai Marcu – Expert, Department of Experts and Inspectors Specialized in Accreditation and Quality Assurance, ARACIS	2
	15 – 16 June 2017 - Brussels (Belgium)	*ENQA Seminar "Quality Assurance in European Context"* The programme informed the participants about relevant topics such as the Bologna Process, the European Commission's priorities concerning quality assurance and higher education, the role of the main stakeholder bodies (including the E4 and EQAR) in the European Higher Education Area, and the purpose of the Standards and guidelines for quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG). Participation was open to ENQA members, affiliates, and other interested stakeholders Participants: Adina Nică (Ghidura) – Expert, International Relations, Projects and Cooperation, ARACIS and Adriana Popoiu – Expert, International Relations, Projects and Cooperation, ARACIS	2
	06 July 2017 – 04 August 2017 – Bucharest (Romania)	*The Accredited Course "Archivist"* The course aimed to develop the participants' practical knowledge and skills in order to manage and make available to the public the documents created by public institutions	1

No	Period and location	Category of participants, topics and other details	Number of participants
		Participant: Gabriela Criveanu – Inspector, Department of Public Relations, Registry, Archive and Secretariat Office	
	14 July 2017 – Bucharest (Romania)	Training of ARACIS staff with theme *"Internal Quality Assurance in the light of ESGs 2015"* Participants: all ARACIS staff	30
	19 September 2017 – Bucharest (Romania)	Training of ARACIS staff with theme *"Quality evaluation activities guide of Master domains", "the modality of implementation of ESGs 2015", "revision of internal quality assurance procedures"* etc. Participants: Experts of Department of Experts and Inspectors Specialized in Accreditation and Quality Assurance, ARACIS and ARACIS expert in internal quality assurance	11
	2013 – 2017 Bucharest (Romania)	Specific accountancy courses for the Economic Department – periodically (once a year or once every two years)	7
	2013 – 2017 Bucharest (Romania)	Specific procurement courses for the Public Acquisitions Department – periodically (once a year or once every two/ three years)	1

Annex 7.7 – Training of academics and students evaluators

No	Period, host and location	Type of training session, category of participants/target group, topics, trainers and other details	Number of participants
1.	September 2013, Coltesti, Alba County Host institution: University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Iuliu Hatieganu" of Cluj-Napoca	Face-to-face training session Target group: academics and other staff, involved in quality assurance of 5 medical universities in Romania The training was realized according to the specific Quality Standards and performance indicators for Higher Education in Health Care. Then, the participants had to develop a project to enhance the capacity of universities to provide better educational services according to the specific standards in Health Care The training was organized within the EU – funded project "Specific Quality Standards and performance indicators for Higher Education in Health Care", POSDRU/18/1.2/G/40067; in this project ARACIS was partner. Trainers: Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian, Prof. Radu-Nicolaie Oprean, Prof. Anca Buzoianu, Oana Sârbu	15
2.	December 2013, Cluj-Napoca, Cluj County	Face-to-face training session Target group: University staff working for Distance and Part-Time study programs. The training was organized by ARACIS and supported from own funds Trainers: Prof. eng. Iordan Petrescu, Prof. Gligor Ciortea	20
3.	March 2015, Bacau, Bacau County Host institution: University "Vasile Alecsandri" of Bacau	Face-to-face training session Target group: Students from all three student unions recognized at national level (The National Alliance of Student Organizations in Romania – ANOSR, Union of the Romanian Students – USR, National Union of the Romanian Students - UNSR); at the end of this training, these students became evaluators in the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators – Students (NRE-S) Part of the costs for this training was supported from the funds of the project " The development and the consolidation of the quality culture at Romanian Higher Education system – QUALITAS", POSDRU/155/1.2/S/141894; this project was implemented by ARACIS in partnership with Central and Eastern European Network of Quality Assurance Agencies in Higher Education (CEENQA) – for 30 students The other part of the costs was supported from ARACIS own funds – for 40 students	70

No	Period, host and location	Type of training session, category of participants/target group, topics, trainers and other details	Number of participants
		The main themes of the training: First briefing on the proposal for new ESG – to be approved in Yerevan in 2015 by the ministers from "Bologna' countries Trainers: Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian, Anca Mărgineanu, Camelia Iliescu, Andrei Cocor, Delia Gologan, Cristi Popescu, Șeila Abdulamit	
4.	March 2015, Bucharest Host institution: University "Politehnica" Bucharest	Face-to-face training session Target group: Representatives of universities working in their internal quality assurance structures, acting also as "self-evaluators" (internal evaluators) – 86 participants Evaluators from the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators (NRE) (external evaluators) – 15 participants The main themes of the training: new aspects in quality assurance and assessment, Self-Assessment Report of the Universities, follow-up procedures, the activity of the internal structures of quality assurance, Quality Management: objectives for applying new ESGs, Procedure for evaluation for distance learning programs etc. The training was organized within the above-mentioned project QUALITAS Trainers: Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian, Oana Sârbu	101
5.	April 2015, Timisoara, Timis County Host institution: University "Politehnica" Timisoara	Face-to-face training session Target group: Representatives of universities working in their internal quality assurance structures, acting also as "self-evaluators" (internal evaluators) – 35 participants Evaluators from the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators (external evaluators) – 51 participants The main themes of the training: new aspects in quality assurance and assessment, Self-Assessment Report of the Universities, follow-up procedures, the activity of the internal structures of quality assurance, Quality Management: objectives for applying new ESGs, Procedure for evaluation for distance learning programs etc. The training was organized within the above-mentioned project QUALITAS Trainers: Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian, Prof. Alin Gavreliuc	86
6.	May 2015, Alba-Iulia, Alba County Host institution: University "1	Face-to-face training session Target group: Representatives of universities working in their internal quality assurance structures, acting also as	82

No	Period, host and location	Type of training session, category of participants/target group, topics, trainers and other details	Number of participants
	Decembrie 1918" of Alba-Iulia	"self-evaluators" (internal evaluators) – 27 participants Evaluators from the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators (external evaluators) – 55 participants The main themes of the training: new aspects in quality assurance and assessment, Self-Assessment Report of the Universities, follow-up procedures, the activity of the internal structures of quality assurance, Quality Management: objectives for applying new ESGs, Procedure for evaluation for distance learning programs etc. The training was organized within the above-mentioned project QUALITAS Trainers: Prof. eng. Iordan Petrescu, Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian, Oana Sârbu	
7.	April 2016, Bucharest Host institution: The Bucharest University of Economic Studies	Face-to-face training session Target group: Students from two student unions recognized at national level (Union of the Romanian Students – USR, National Union of the Romanian Students – UNSR); at the end of this training, these students became evaluators in the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators – Students The training was organized by these students unions and ARACIS supported the unions with expertise and participation with speakers Trainers: Alexandru Bîndar, Sheila Abduraim, Alin Grigore	35
8.	October 2016, Bucharest Host institution: The University of Bucharest	Face-to-face training session Target group: Students from one student union recognized at national level (The National Alliance of Student Organizations in Romania – ANOSR); at the end of this training, these students became evaluators in the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators – Students The training was organized by this student unions and ARACIS supported the union with expertise, participation with speakers and the payment of food costs for the period of training Trainers: Daniela Alexe, Robert Santa, Cristi Popescu, Vlad Chereches	32
9.	November 2016, Bacau, Bacau County Host institution: University	Face-to-face training session Target group: Students from one student union recognized at national level (National Union of the Romanian Students – UNSR); at the end of this training, these students became evaluators in the ARACIS National Register of	41

No	Period, host and location	Type of training session, category of participants/target group, topics, trainers and other details	Number of participants
	"Vasile Alecsandri" of Bacau	Evaluators – Students The training was organized by this student unions and ARACIS supported the union with expertise and the payment of food costs for the period of training Trainers: Nicolae Tunsoiu, Mihai Viorel Munteanu, Marian Dalban, Daniela Cîtu, Gheorghe Adămuțe etc.	
10.	February 2017, Brasov, Brasov County Host institution: University "Transilvania" Brasov	Face-to-face training session Target group: Evaluators from the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators; the training for the experts registered in NRE in the period 2014 – 2017 The main themes of the training: elements of ethics and professional conduct during external evaluation visits, ARACIS Methodology in the context of new ESGs, EUR-ACE Standards, good practices in institutional evaluations and program evaluations etc. The costs of the training were covered from ARACIS own funds Trainers: Prof. eng. Iordan Petrescu, Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian, Conf. Emilia Gogu, Prof. Vasilica Stan, Prof. Ioan Ianoș, Prof. Lucian Puiu Georgescu, Prof. eng. Anton Hadăr, Prof. Adrian Opre, Prof. Ladislau Nagy, Prof. Mădălin Bunoiu, Prof. Gheorghe Grigoraș. Prof. eng. Dorian Cojocaru, Prof. Nicoleta Corbu, Vlad Chereches	129
11.	March 2017, Sibiu, Sibiu County Host institution: University "Lucian Blaga" Sibiu	Face-to-face training session Target group: Evaluators from the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators; the training for the experts registered in NRE in the period 2014 – 2017 The main themes of the training: elements of ethics and professional conduct during external evaluation visits, ARACIS Methodology in the context of new ESGs, EUR-ACE Standards, good practices in institutional evaluations and program evaluations etc. The costs of the training were covered from ARACIS own funds Trainers: Prof. eng. Iordan Petrescu, Prof. eng. Radu-Mircea Damian, Conf. Emilia Gogu, Prof. Vasilica Stan, Prof. Ioan Ianoș, Prof. eng. Anton Hadăr, Prof. Adrian Opre, Prof. Mădălin Bunoiu, Prof. Gheorghe Grigoraș. Prof. eng. Dorian Cojocaru, Prof. Nicoleta Corbu, Prof. eng. Simona Lache, Vlad Chereches, Nicolae Tunsoiu	118

No	Period, host and location	Type of training session, category of participants/target group, topics, trainers and other details	Number of participants
12.	November 2017, Bucharest Host institution: University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest	Face-to-face training session Target group: Representatives of universities working in their internal quality assurance structures – 94 participants Members of Permanent Specialty Commissions – 86 participants The main themes of the training was the presentation and discussions regarding the Standards for the External Periodic Evaluation of Accredited Master Study domains Trainers: Prof. eng. Iordan Petrescu, Assoc. Prof. Emilia Gogu	180
Total number of participants/target group			**909**
Representatives of universities working in their internal quality assurance structures (Internal evaluators)			277
Evaluators from the ARACIS National Register of Evaluators (NRE) (External evaluators)			454
Students from three student unions recognized at national level who became National Register of Evaluators – Students (NRE-S)			178